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Abstract

In order to evaluate the food security development in Bandung, researcher has been conducted in the form of software design to measure the performance of food security development from the aspect of food availability, food accessibility and the utilization and quality of food. The software is created in Microsoft Excel base as an analytics tool. The data used as the material of analysis was taken from the report of the institutions related to the food security development. Data here, is a source for scoring and weighting of the 3 food security dimensions which is filled in the software. List of contents for the analysis of food availability, food access and utilization and quality of food aspect are consist of 27 criteria, 12 criteria and 12 criteria respectively. The evaluation is done based on the calculation of the total value of weight multiplication with the score of each criteria, where the maximum total value is 5000 and minimum 1000. Justification of performance condition of food security performance described in the software is based on 5 categories class, that is from 1000 to 1800 total value performance for “unsafe conditions”, then 1800 to 2600 for “unsafe conditions”, 2600 to 3400 for “fairly safe conditions”, 3400 to 4200 for “safe conditions” and 4200 to 5000 for “very safe conditions”. The result of evaluation by using data in Bandung City of year 2012 and year 2015 indicate that condition of Bandung food security in terms of availability is “fairly safe”, food access is “safe” and the utilization and quality of food is in “fairly safe” condition.
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1. INTRODUCTION

“The term of Food availability is related with the availability of sufficient quantities of food of appropriate quality, supplied through domestic production or imports (including food aid)”. Meanwhile the term of Food accessibility is about “Access by individuals to adequate resources (entitlements) for acquiring appropriate foods for a nutritious diet”. And The term of food Utilization is about “Utilization of food through adequate diet, clean water, sanitation and health care to reach a state of nutritional well-being where all physiological needs are met”. (FAO, [1]).

In fact, it is not easy to measure food security performance due to many variable relate with those aspect it is because the availability of data. So, the data is very important in many region in relation with the measurement of food security.
Negative impact of food security condition can give food insufficiency, lack of nutritional quality, lack of food, lack of the quality of life of urban residents and also impact to economic value especially on purchasing power (Brown et.al. [2]).

There are several factors can affect to food security situation such as droughts, pro-longed dry spells, limited access to inputs, as well as urban poverty. So that the factors could be considered as evaluation variable in this method (Hanyani-Mlambo and Odreck Mukorera, [3]).

In order to monitor and evaluation of food security condition, every city in many country hopefully makes preparation tool to control it periodically. In digital era, monitoring process and evaluation can be easier to do by using information technology.

In relation with this issue, Bandung as a small city with a big population also has masterplan to monitor and evaluation of food security. In 2012, Bandung Planning Agency (Bapeda Kota Bandung), has cooperated with researcher from LPPM of Padjadjaran University to make grand design food security.

In that occasion, focus of the research was how to measure food security in easiest, effective and efficient. The back ground was that reality condition in Bandung city that based on Kastaman et.al. [4], the city of Bandung is only able to supply food needs from internal food production about 15%. While the rest food supply imported from other regions.

Accordance with the dimensions of FAO's food security, to carry out periodic evaluation of food security condition in Bandung since 2012 Bapeda (Bandung Regional Planning Agency) along with the Agriculture and Food Security Board has measured food security condition with specific food security model (Bapeda Kota Bandung, [5]). The measurement has a role to the urban agriculture of Bandung. This action is very important to support the food security from household level to the community level. Therefore, even small agricultural activity in the city will be meaningful for the development of food security in the future (CFSUAC, [6]).

In measuring food security, the situation and conditions in a different area can affect indicator and variable size used on the field (Rachman and Mewa, [7]).

The measurement of food security in Bandung is needed as material to make a good government policy related to the development of food security in the year to come.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted in the city of Bandung as a case study with data collected from BPS data in 2012 and 2015 base year. [Bapeda, [8] [9]]. The study has done at the Laboratory of Information Systems and Management Faculty of Agro Industrial Technology, University of Padjadjaran. The method used in this research is descriptive analytic method with the primary data used in this research is based on respond from decision maker in Bandung Agriculture and Food Security Board as one of stakeholders of Food Security Board of Bandung Government.

Primary data were collected for the system from direct interviews with government officials especially from : Bandung Agriculture and Food Security Board, BPS, Trade and Industry Agency, Bapeda, Communication and Information Agency and Transportation agency. While secondary data obtained from Food Balance, Score Dietary Pattern Hope (PPH Score), the Minimum Service Standards (SPM).

In this research, the analysis of food security used Grand design of Bandung food security model with weighting technique and scoring for three aspects of food security. The method is based on
scoring and weighting of several variables form 3 dimension of food security such as Food Availability, Food Accessibility and Food Quality.

The basic of designing software for analyzing condition of food security is how to count the total value of weight multiplying score of each criteria from each dimension of food security. Software using Microsoft Excel® as a tool for analysis and counting the value.

There are several step to program the food security dimension score, weight and value, i.e.:
1. Determine the dimensions, criteria and indicators.
2. Determine the criteria component assessment based on indicators of each dimension.
3. Determine the criteria weight.
4. Fill in the scores and weights of each indicator and criteria.
5. Calculate the performance value of food security dimensions.
6. Grouping performance value categories in the food security conditions group.
7. Combining the scoring categories of the 3 dimensions of food security.
8. Evaluate the condition of food security performance according to monitoring and evaluation year data.

To make score of the dimension criteria is done by determining the scale of the assessment for the variable criteria in each dimension. While the determination of the weight is done by using preference degree of importance of each criterion. Every score and weight is analyzed and separate by each worksheet for each dimension of food security.

The grading scale used for weighting and scoring given by score range between 10, to 50. Weight in this occasion is determined by preference degree of importance of each aspect of food security in the following way (Kastaman et.al. [10]):

1) “The basis of determining the degree of importance
Priority is not primary = 1
Priority is somewhat less = 2
Priority is quite important = 3
High Priority = 4
Priority is very important = 5

2) “The value of food security dimension is obtained by multiply the score with weight. The result range value for this measurement consists of 5 classes which is obtained form maximum and minimum total value of each food security dimensions such as follows:
Maximum value = 50 x 100 = 5000
Minimum value = 10 x 100 = 1000
Difference in value (a) = 4000
Number of classes (b) = 5
The range value is = a / b = 800

So that range of food security indicators as follows:
1000 - 1800 = Very unsafe
1800 - 2600 = Unsafe
2600 - 3400 = Fairly safe
3400 - 4200 = Safe
4200 - 5000 = Very safe”

See source : [10]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Determine the dimensions, criteria and indicators.

The dimensions of food security such as food availability, food access and food utility and quality is placed in every Excel worksheet. Meanwhile, in every work sheet, the component of criteria also attach to describe what condition could be happened based on year account. Every component of criteria has indicator that taken into account as a score as what describe in Figure 1.

In every score and weight sheet, there are 27 component of criteria for food availability, 12 component of criteria for food access and 12 component of criteria for food utility.
Figure 1. Screenshoot Example of Dimension, Criteria, Indicator and Score for each Dimension of Food Security

Kastaman et.al. [10] describe that the component of criteria for each food security dimension can be divided as follows:

3.1.1. “Aspect Of Food Availability”[10]

1. Bandung Food Production
2. Supply from external to internal city of nine primary food stuff (sembako)
3. Weighbridge
4. Transportation Facilities for commodity transportation
5. Quarantine Center
6. City food stock (provincial government)
7. Food Stock City (City Government)
8. Food stock of granary subdistrict
9. Food stock of village granary
10. Food stocks at traders, groups
11. Main Market
12. Traditional Markets
13. Modern Markets / Hypermarkets
14. Food price subsidy
15. Number of city dwellers / km²
16. Area of harvest
17. Productivity
18. Product Diversification
19. Irrigation system
20. Agricultural technology
21. Farming Credit
22. Means of production
23. Commission on the supervision of fertilizers and pesticides
24. Climate
25. Pests
26. Illness
27. Disaster

3.1.2. “Aspect Food Accessibility”[10]

1. Average per capita income
2. Percentage of unemployment to population
3. Food Price (HPP rice) is seen from the inflation rate
4. Existence of monitoring of commodity prices
5. Transportation Facilities and Infrastructure transportation, communication and transportation) supporting distribution food
6. Volume of motor vehicles is associated with the condition road
7. Intensity of socialization activities and building of resilience food per year per sub district (frequency)
8. Measures on the possibility of Disaster influence,
9. Conflict etc. within 1 year
10. Flood Intensity per year
11. Drought Intensity per year
12. Earthquake Intensity per year

3.1.3. “Aspect Utility / Food Quality”[10]

1. Energy Sufficiency (Average)
2. Adequacy of Nutrition
3. Variation of food in one week / household
4. The incidence of malnutrition cases include poisoning / year cases in percentage form
5. Violation of food safety cases within 1 year
6. Health Facilities
7. Health Services
8. Adequacy of Clean Water
9. Sanitation
10. Life Expectations
11. Underfive Nutrition malnutrition / year
12. Infant Death
3.2. Weight Criteria

As what mentioned in the methods, the weight fill in every sheet in relation with dimension measured. The weight fill in separate sheet as describe in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Example Feature of Scoring and Weighting Every Dimension of Criteria

3.3. Performance Value Dimension

Performance value of each food security dimension is obtained by multiplying score with its weighting result. Here, the score and weight is given by respondent or user who related with food security concern in the goverment for example the Chief of Food and Agriculture Agency.

The data fill in the system depend on data availability and interpretation of user in relation with food security experience in their field. So that before data analysis, user obtain tutorial at glance to make the system effective to give comprehensive information on the final result.

Performance value of each food security dimensions views in below of the sheet with specific justification. For example if the final performance value is 3186 then the justification for that condition is "fairly safe" (as what mentioned in the methods for range of food security indicators 2600 to 3400 is "Fairly Safe"). The example of this account is what describe in Figure 3.

3.4. Using the Software to Evaluate Food Condition of Bandung City in 2012 and 2015.

To describe performance of the software on analyizing food security dimension, the data form Bandung City has
been taken into account for specific evaluation. For this purpose, the system analyzed data from year of 2012 and 2015 in Bandung then the result as what presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The Result Of Food Security Measure In Bandung City on year of 2012 and 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Score 2012</th>
<th>Score 2015</th>
<th>Food Security Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>3.123,39</td>
<td>3.129,53</td>
<td>Fairly Safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Accessibility</td>
<td>4.171,43</td>
<td>3.969,33</td>
<td>Safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Utility</td>
<td>3.130,43</td>
<td>3.337,14</td>
<td>Fairly Safe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [10]

The results of measurement using this software describe that for 2012 and 2015, food security conditions in Bandung did not changes in their performance values. However, specifically in each dimension there are specific changes in the number of indicators present in each dimension. For example, in food availability dimension, there was performance increase in food productivity indicators, then food price subsidies and subsidies of agricultural technology factors. While on the food access dimension there was performance decrease in the indicator due to rise of inflation and increase of motor vehicles population.

In the dimension of food utilization and quality, the increase of performance occurred in indicator of malnutrition case decrease, the poisoning case decrease and food safety and infant mortality rate case decrease.

4. Conclusions

Based on results of the research, there are several things as a conclusions, such as follows:

1. Software with Microsoft Excel analysis base can be used to get a description of food security performance in a specific city.

2. The results of the analysis can show the problem map based on the indicator of the dimensions of food security as measured and also the performance value obtained in general from each dimension of food security.

3. By using the example of food security case in Bandung City with data of year 2012 and 2015, in fact that there were no difference of food security conditions in Bandung.

4. The Differences occured only on some performance indicators of the criteria component of each dimensions. For example: on the food availability indicators and the food utilization and quality indicator, there was an increased in 2015, while in the food access indicator decreased.
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