Xylitol Production from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches (OPEFB) Via Simultaneous Enzymatic Hydrolysis and Fermentation Process
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Abstract

Oil palm empty fruit bunches (OPEFB) are the waste of the palm oil processing and a lignocellulosic biomass that can be used as raw material for xylitol production. In this research, bioconversion of xylitol using the Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF) process in one reactor with short time and could save the cost. Pretreatment requires to degrade the lignin compound with thermal pretreatment. Hydrolysis of OPEFB performs enzymatically by commercial xylanase enzyme that is Cellic Htec2 and continued with fermentation by yeast Debaryomyces hansenii. The research used experimental and descriptive analysis that covers of variation of OPEFB substrate concentration (7.5%, 10%, 15%, 20%) on specific growth rate (µ), the yield of xylitol and substrate utilization. The results showed that an increase of OPEFB substrate concentration affected by the increasing of specific growth rates (µ) are 0.091/h, 0.094/h, 0.095/h and 0.126/h. It also affected to the decreasing of xylitol yield on 42 hours fermentation are 0.201 g/g; 0.189 g/g; 0.170 g/g; and 0.104 g/g.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia as the world’s top producer and exporter of palm oil unavoidably contributes to large waste disposal from the activities of palm oil production. These wastes still contain valuable constituents that can be converted to various products. One of them is oil palm empty fruit bunches (OPEFB) generated from oil palm mill, particularly in Indonesia around 6 million ton OPEFB per year [1]. A major component of OPEFB was lignocellulose primarily consisted of 45% cellulose (homopolymer of glucose), 25-30% hemicellulose (heteropolymer of C5 and C6 sugars), 25-30% lignin and extractive compounds [2]. Cellulose and hemicellulose could be hydrolyzed enzymatically to fermentable sugars. The major sugar in hemicellulose of OPEFB was xylose (82.20%) as substrate of xylitol production [3].

Xylitol, one of the polyol sugars, has numerous benefits in particular for sugar substitution in both food and pharmaceutical application because the degree of sweetness in xylitol slightly equals to sucrose. Xylitol did not require insulin to regulate metabolism in a human’s body [4]. Thus, people with diabetes could consume this sugar. Moreover, this sugar also was able to prevent dental caries, so considerably applied in toothpaste.

Hydrolysis and fermentation for xylitol production were commonly carried out separately or namely separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF). However, this
technique was ineffectively implemented particularly in larger scale because of longer process and more reactors needed. Therefore, simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) was the proper approach to tackle this problem [4]. In addition, research with subjected to xylitol production via SSF was still inconsiderably reported, so this method was conducted in this research.

One of the essential factors influencing xylitol formation was solid loading concentration of raw materials. Optimization was needed to increase xylose produced, so more xylitol could be obtained [3]. Yeast could optimally utilize xylose in the limited substrate [6]. However, the high substrate also could inhibit the process because more inhibitors were released or formed during pretreatment [7]. Accordingly, this research studied the effect of solid loading concentration on xylitol production with the method of SSF from OPEFB with the aim to obtain high xylitol yield.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Raw Materials

In this research, OPEFB was collected from Oil Palm Mill PTPN 8, Cikasungka located in Bogor, Indonesia. OPEFB was chopped and then repeatedly washed with tap water to reduce all impurities adhering on the surface. Clean OPEFB was then dried using the oven at 105 °C for 24 h and followed by grinding using disc mill. OPEFB particles were sieved to equate its size at below 80 meshes.

Lignocellulose in OPEFB identified by the following method of [8,9] was composed of 39.47±0.74% cellulose, 17.31±0.56% hemicellulose, 23.26±1.00% lignin with water and ash content was 4.85±0.10 and 4.82±0.16%, respectively.

2.2 Pretreatment

Prior to hydrolysis, OPEFB was pretreated through autohydrolysis method. Ratio of OPEFB and acetic acid buffer (pH 5.0) was varied with concentration of 7.5; 10; 15; 20% (w/v). OPEFB was heated using the autoclave and kept at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

2.3 Xylitol Production

2.3.1 Inoculum Preparation

Yeast used in this research, Debaryomyces hansenii ITBCC R85, was obtained from Microbiology and Bioprocess Technology, Department of Chemical Engineering, Institut Teknologi Bandung. Inoculum medium consisted of carbon source (2 g xylose), pre-sterilized inorganic salt solution (0.94 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.25 g KH₂PO₄, 0.005 g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.05 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.05 g citric acid, 0.0035 g FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0.00092 g MnSO₄·5H₂O, 0.0002 g CoCl₂·6H₂O, 0.00013 g Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 0.0002 g H₃BO₃, 0.00035 g KI, 0.00005 g Al₂(SO₄)₃), and filtered sterilized vitamin solution (0.01 g Myo-inositol, 0.002 g Ca-pantothenate, 0.0005 g Thiamine hydrochloride, 0.0005 g Pyridoxal hydrochloride, 0.0005 g Nicotinic acid, 0.0001 g Aminobenzoic acid, 0.00013 g D-biotin), and 100 mL of distilled water [10]. Yeast culture was propagated using a rotary shaker incubator at 30 °C for 2 days or until reaching minimum cell concentration approximately 10⁶ cell/mL.

2.3.2 Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation

Pretreated OPEFB for each solid loading was hydrolyzed by 10 mL Cellic HTec 2 (Novozyme) with its activity of 750 U/mL measured with the method of [11]. Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed using a rotary shaker incubator at 60 °C with agitation of 150 rpm. Value of pH was controlled with acetic buffer (pH 5.0).

Hydrolysis was stopped after 72 h and hydrolysate was collected for monomeric sugar analysis. Around 150 mL another macro- and micro-nutrients [3] was added and 100 mL prepared inoculum of D. hansenii was subsequently inoculated into the hydrolysis flask. Hydrolysis incorporated with batch fermentation was continued at 30 °C and 150 rpm for 96 h. Every 3 h broth was sampled and analyzed to identify the concentration of cell, remaining sugar,
product, and acetic acid. Several parameters were observed further, viz. specific cell growth rate (µ), yield (YP/S, YP/X, and YX/S), and xylose utilization.

2.4 Analytical Method

To determine dry weight cell (dcw), turbidity level of biomass expressed in optical density (OD) for each sample was measured with spectrophotometer UV-VIS and regressed to a standard curve (dcw and OD). On the other hand, glucose, xylose, xylitol, and acetic acid was analyzed using HPLC with BioRad Aminex HPX-87X column at 60 °C, using eluent of 5 mM H2SO4, and equipped with Refractive index detector set at 40 °C. Empower™ Chromatography Data Software (Waters Corp., Milford, MA) was used for processing the data. As supporting data, assessment of OPEFB surface before and after a series of the process was observed using scanning electron microscope (SEM).

2.5 Data Interpretation

Growth of microorganisms was parameterised as the specific growth rate that was calculated from biomass concentration data during the logarithmic phase, following Equation 1.

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = \mu X
\]  

(1)

Performance of the fermentation was parameterised by yield which is described as the ratio of formed product to used substrate and cell following Equation 2 and 3.

\[
YP/S = \frac{(P-P_0)}{(S-S_0)}
\]  

(2)

\[
YP/X = \frac{(P-P_0)}{(X-X_0)}
\]  

(3)

Where:

- P = xylitol product concentration (g/L)
- t = total fermentation time (h)
- YP/S = product-from-substrate yield (g-xylitol/g-xylose), (Yx/S=g-biomass/g-total substrate)
- YP/X = product-from-cell yield (g-xylitol/g-cell)
- X = cell concentration (g/L)
- \( \mu \) = specific growth rate (h⁻¹)

S = substrate concentration (g/L)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Influence of Solid Loading on Monomeric Sugar Composition in The Hydrolysate

The time profile of two major monomeric sugars in hydrolysate, xylose, and glucose, for each solid loading was shown in Fig. 1. The increase of OPEFB solid loading could elevate both sugar concentration obtained showing that no substrate inhibition occurred in the range of solid loading from 7.5% to 20%. After 72 hours of hydrolysis, maximum xylose (1.628 g/L) was reached with 20% OPEFB solid loading. Glucose was still formed in this process since apart from xylanase, cellulase also was detected in Cellic HTec2. Moreover, glucose concentration was always higher than that of xylose. This data showed that higher performance of enzyme in Cellic HTec2 was possessed by cellulase instead of xylanase. In addition, cellulose content in OPEFB used in this research was doubled from hemicellulose. The maximum glucose achieved was approximately 4.5 g/L glucose from 20% OPEFB solid loading after 96 h hydrolysis.
Breakage of this bond then occurred and products, monomeric sugars, was released.

3.2 Influence of Solid Loading on Specific Cell Growth Rate

Cell growth curve of *D. hansenii* for various initial OPEFB concentration was presented in Fig. 2. In general, a cell of *D. hansenii* grown in OPEFB medium took place three phases of growth. Firstly, the lag phase occurred at a shorter time for 7.5% OPEFB solid loading (from 0 to 4 h) and at a longer time for solid loading of 10%, 15%, and 20%. This result showed that *D. hansenii* could be more adaptable in medium with lower OPEFB solid loading. The occurrence of cell adaptation in a new medium was caused by the need for yeast time to synthesize enzyme for converting the nutrition to essential compounds for its growth. According to [12], lag phase duration was influenced by yeast medium types, a physical condition in medium, and inoculum amount. The higher initial yeast was inoculated, the shorter lag phase time was reached [13].

The second phase, the logarithmic phase, was initiated after 4 h fermentation and stopped at 28 h for 7.5% of solid loading. For the other solid loading concentration, viz. 10%, 15%, and 20%, this phase was started from 16 h to 42 h, 16 to 28 h, and 16 h to 24 h fermentation, respectively. Overall, the data was concluded that the shorter logarithmic phase was possessed at the highest OPEFB solid loading. On the contrary, this solid solid loading had the highest µ around 0.126 h⁻¹ as presented in Table 1. Interestingly, the value of µ increased over the increase of solid loading concentration. [14] stated that µ was significantly influenced by initial substrate concentration used for yeast growth.

Table 1. The specific cell growth rate for each OPEFB solid loading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPEFB Solid Loading (%)</th>
<th>µ (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following phase, stationary phase signified with no significant change of dry cell weight (Fig. 2) was started at 28 h fermentation for 7.5% and 15% OPEFB solid loading, at 42 h fermentation for 10% OPEFB solid loading, and at 24 h fermentation for 20% OPEFB solid loading. After 96 h fermentation, yeast was still in stationer phase and had not been in the death phase.

3.3 Xylitol Fermentation via Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF)

According to Table 2, the highest xylitol yield was obtained when fermentation was performed at 42 h using the lowest solid loading concentration (7.5%), around 0.20 g xylitol/g xylose. However, after 96 h fermentation xylitol yield declined for all solid loading concentration, except for the concentration of 20% yield of xylitol increased up to 0.19 g/g. This result showed that xylitol released was still metabolized by yeast, so its yield reduced. Even though the highest xylitol yield was achieved at 7.5% solid loading, not all xylose was completely consumed. Only 85% of xylose was used by yeast for growth, maintenance, and product formation. Conversely, yeast utilized all xylose in hydrolysate for solid loading of over 7.5%.
Table 2. Kinetics parameters of fermentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solid loading (%)</th>
<th>Xylose utilization (%)</th>
<th>$Y_{x/s}$ (g/g) $t_{42h}$</th>
<th>$Y_{x/s}$ (g/g) $t_{96h}$</th>
<th>$Y_{p/s}$ (g/g) $t_{42h}$</th>
<th>$Y_{p/s}$ (g/g) $t_{96h}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>24.48</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.05</td>
<td>25.24</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The yield of biomass also was maximally obtained at 7.5% OPEFB solid loading after 42 h fermentation. From that time to 96 h fermentation, biomass yield decreased for all solid loading concentration, except at 15%. This result indicated that the number of the cell had declined over that time. In addition, according to Table 2, a higher yield of biomass than that in xylitol yield showed that more xylose was consumed for cell formation and propagation than for product formation.

As compared to separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF) method reported by [7] using the same raw material and its solid loading concentration that could obtain 0.242 g/g xylitol, lower xylitol yield (0.19 g/g) with the method of SSF in this research was obtained. This result also was in favor of research data reported by [15] using bagasse as raw material and approached of SHF and SSF with a yield of 0.235 g/L and 0.225 g/L, respectively. Hence, the presence of remained OPEFB solid after hydrolysis could not give extra nutrition for yeast, but it could inhibit the following process, fermentation.

Profile of xylitol formation was shown in Fig. 3. Xylitol was formed at 42 h fermentation or at the stationary phase for OPEFB solid loading of 7.5%, 10%, and 15%. However, for higher solid loading (20%) xylitol was detected at 16 h or at logarithmic phase. Hence, probably model that could be concluded for OPEFB solid loading of 7.5%, 10%, and 15% was non-growth-associated product and if using 20% OPEFB solid loading, its model was a mixed-growth-associated product.

Xylose concentration decreased significantly for 4 days of fermentation. Xylose consumed was used by yeast for both xylitol production and cell growth or maintenance. Besides, a decrease of xylitol was caused by xylitol oxidation by xylitol dehydrogenase (XDH) to D-xylulose and it was subsequently converted further to cell and used for NADH/NADPH regeneration [16]. Inhibition of xylitol formation also was led to xylose concentration. Substrate concentration was influenced by xylose reductase (XR) and XDH activity. Low initial xylose concentration could lead to not optimum enzyme production. On the contrary, high initial xylose concentration could affect to the difference of osmotic pressure between inside and outside of the cell [16].
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3.4 Effect of Autohydrolysis on The Change of OPEFB Surface Structure

The surface structure of OPEFB before treatment, after hydrolysis and fermentation were displayed in Fig. 4. The OPEFB had irregular outer surface, rough, rigid, and solid structure because its surface was covered with matrix layer composing of lignin and wax [17]. After hydrolysis, OPEFB outer surface was wrecked due to the effect of autohydrolysis. This result indicated that lignin on that surface was delignified [18]. Delignification by pretreatment could increase the porosity of OPEFB and enzyme access during enzymatic hydrolysis [19].

Fermented OPEFB had structure showing that silica in OPEFB was still embedded on the surface. Silica contributed to the strength and rigidity of OPEFB [20]. Removal of silica on the surface could open a siliceous pathway and provided a more amorphous area of OPEFB, so the performance of hydrolysis increased [21].

CONCLUSIONS

Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation was an effective and efficient way to produce xylitol from lignocellulose OPEFB. Various OPEFB solid loading from 7.5 to 20% gave a significant impact for xylose and xylitol released. The increase of solid loading could escalate the yield of xylose generated after hydrolysis. However, the higher solid loading was used for fermentation, the lower xylitol could be produced. Maximum xylitol obtained via SSF
was 0.201 g/g at the lowest solid loading (7.5%), but the highest \(\mu\) was 0.126 h\(^{-1}\) at the highest solid loading. The more severe condition of autohydrolysis was suggested for the next research to obtain optimum xylose yield. Autohydrolysis at 121 °C for 15 minutes was not able to eliminate all silica bodies contributed to the strength and rigidity of OPEFB surface based on SEM analysis.
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