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ABSTRACT. The research aims to empirically analyze the effects of motivation, perceived costs, perceived convenience and perceived usefulness on student interest in participating in competency training. The research object is students of the Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics, Semarang University. The number of samples was 96 students. The sampling technique used a systematic random method, namely students of the Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics. Technique data analysis used multiple regression and classical assumption tests as well as hypothesis testing (t test and F test). The results showed that: (1) Motivation has a positive and significant effect on interest in participating in competency training. (2) Training costs have a negative effect on interest in participating in competency training. (3) Perception of convenience has a positive effect on interest in participating in competency training. (4) Perceived benefits have a positive effect on interest in participating in competency training. The conclusion is that motivation, perceived convenience and benefits have a positive and significant effect on the intention to attend competency training, while the training costs have negative results. The implication: (1) The quality of the training needs to be improved, namely by using a lot of case study questions and practice questions. (2) The material is kept as simple as possible so that it is easily understood by the trainees. (3) Cooperating with institutions that issue competency training tests.
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INTRODUCTION

Human resources (HR) is a very important factor that cannot be separated from an organization, both institutions, and companies. HR is also the key that determines the company’s development. In essence, human resources in the form of humans are employed in an organization as movers, thinkers, and planners to achieve the goals of the organization (Aidha, 2016). This development needs to be carried out by the Indonesian people, especially the younger generation when taking academic education because students are intellectuals who have a role not only for themselves but also for society, the nation and the state. To prepare human resources with high quality and competitiveness following the vision of Semarang University to produce professional and civilized and Indonesian resources, with information technology insight and sustainable development that can compete both nationally and globally, then Semarang University, especially the Economics Faculty, holds training and competency test. This can be used maximally by students to develop themselves, not just participating in academic activities or studying in the classroom. The Economics Faculty requires Management Study Program students to take at least one of two competency training: business feasibility studies or e-commerce show on table 1.

Although at least one training required by management students, it turns out that not as many accounting students take competency training. This is because management students still have the opinion that there are not many benefits to be obtained from participating in training so that as a
result, management students’ interests are smaller than those of accounting students. Whereas in management science there are also many training offerings. Many factors make students interested in obtaining competency certificates, including motivation, perceptions of training costs, perceptions of ease of use, and usability. This shows that the intention of management students is smaller than that of accounting students.

### Table 1. Participants of Management Student Competency Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount of Student</th>
<th>E-Commerce</th>
<th>business feasibility studies</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018(1)</td>
<td>3348</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018(2)</td>
<td>2996</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019(1)</td>
<td>3167</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Secondary data that has been processed, 2019

According to Agarwal & Karahanna (2000), intention is a desire someone to do a certain behavior. Someone will do a behavior if have a desire or intention to do so. Intention too can indicate doing a behavior in the future and repeat it on later. Previous research results show that (intention) is a good predictor of behavior the truth (Vijayasarathy, 2003). Many factors make a student intentioned in obtaining a competency certificate including: motivation (Baloglu & McCleary, 1999; Standage, et. al, 2003; Huang & Hsu, 2009; Indriyani, 2018; Setyawan & Iswanaji, 2019), perception of the cost (Gul, et. al 1989; Eline, 2009; Berlinasari & Erawati, 2017), perception of ease of use (Davis, 1989; Nysveen et al., 2005; Langelo, 2013; Alharbi & Drew, 2014; Witami & Suartana, 2019; Prathama & Sahetapy, 2019), and usefulness (Davis, 1989; Nysveen, et al., 2005; Langelo, 2013; Alharbi & Drew, 2014; Witami & Suartana, 2019; Prathama & Sahetapy, 2019), and usefulness (Davis, 1989; Nysveen, et al., 2005; Langelo, 2013; Lu, et. al. 2009; Lou, et.al. 2005; Alharbi & Drew, 2014; Witami & Suartana, 2019).

Kotler & Keller (2016), “customer buying decision is all their experience in learning, choosing, using, even disposing of a product”. It means consumer purchase intention is all their experiences in learning, selecting, using, and even not using the product. Ajzen (1991), intention is the individual’s intention to show a behaviour. These statements indicate that intention is characterized by preference, like, or love as a form of expression for something that is wanted.

Intention is influenced by several factors including motivation (Standage, et. al, 2003; Baloglu & McCleary, 1999; Huang & Hsu, 2009; Indriyani, 2018; Setyawan & Iswanaji, 2019). Motivation is encouragement in a person to do or work the best on an activity or task to reach achievement (Mangkunegara, 2016). Motivation as a set of energetic forces that originate both within and beyond individual beings, to initiate behavior related work, and to determine for, direction, intensity, and time (Lundberg, et.al, 2009). Different results have been studied by Widyanto & Fitriana (2016) it states that motivation does not affect intention.

So it can be concluded that motivation is something that encourages someone to do something, the motivation of an individual can arise from within the individual (intrinsic motivation) and can arise from outside the individual (extrinsic motivation). Apart from motivation, other factors influence intention, namely cost perception. Gul, et.al (1989); Eline (2009); Sapitri & Yaya (2015); Berlinasari & Erawati (2017) states that cost perception affects intention.

Mulyadi (2016), costs are the sacrifices of economic resources measured in units of money, which have occurred, are happening or are likely to occur for certain purposes, while Simamora (2015), costs are cash or cash equivalent value sacrificed for goods or services that are expected to provide current or future benefits to the organization. Gul, et. al. (1989); Sapitri & Yaya (2015); Berlinasari & Erawati (2017); Denziana & Febriani (2017) which shows that costs harm intention. It is different from Zyl (2011); Katti & Mutmainah’s (2014) research, that the cost of PPAk education does not significantly affect the intention of students in attending PPAk education.

The perceived ease of use also affects intention (Davis, 1989; Nysveen et al., 2005; Langelo, 2013; Alharbi & Drew, 2014; Prathama & Sahetapy, 2019; Witami & Suartana, 2019). If someone believes that the information system is easy to use, then he will use it. Conversely, if someone believes that the information system is not easy to use, then he will not use it.

On the other hand, the perceived usefulness also affects intention (Davis, 1989; Nysveen et al., 2005; Langelo, 2013; Alharbi & Drew, 2014; Witami & Suartana, 2019). The existence of perceived usefulness can affect the purchase intention of a person when a consumer can feel it. The perceived usefulness has a direct impact on the goals of technology adoption.

This study is novelty, different and has advantages over previous similar studies because this study accommodates previous research suggestions and combines several independent variables from several previous studies whose results are contradictory as described above.

The research objective is to examine the effect of motivation, cost perception, perceived ease of use, and perceived usefulness on student intention in participating in the competency test training.

Competency Training: Measuring the Determinants Of Intention
(Nurhidayati, Aprili Santoso and Suryanto)
Motivation

Motivation is something that encourages someone to do something. The motivation of an individual can arise from within the individual (intrinsic motivation) and can arise from outside the individual (extrinsic motivation). According to Taylor’s theory of motivation, the basic idea explains that a person (worker) will be willing to work well if the person believes that he will get a reward that is directly related to his work. This short explanation implies that a person’s enthusiasm for work will decrease if the payment is delayed. General motivation is related to achieving all goals (Widyanto & Fitriana, 2016). Hasibuan (2016), motivation is the provision of a driving force that creates a person’s enthusiasm for work, so that they are willing to work together, work effectively, and integrated with all efforts to achieve satisfaction. Motivation is a psychological factor that shows individual intention to work, feeling satisfied and taking responsibility for the activity or occupation. Desire can be maximally achieved if motivation goes through a gradual cycle (Crompton, 1979; Surifah, et al, 2016). The main benefit of motivation is to create work passion, so that work productivity increases. Meanwhile, the benefits of working with motivated people are: the job gets done properly. This means that the work is completed according to the correct standards and within a predetermined time scale. Something that is done because there is motivation will make people happy to do it. People will feel appreciated or recognized.

Cost perception

Training prepares trainees to take a path specific actions depicted by the technology and organization of the place work, and help participants improve performance in their activities especially regarding understanding and skills. Lee & Neale (2012), cost is the sacrifice of economic resources measured in the form of money, which has occurred, is occurring, or is likely to occur for a specific purpose. The cost of education is the total financial sacrifice that students pay for the benefit of studying from the beginning to the end of the course (Berlinasari & Erawati, 2017). This understanding means that the perception of training cost is the student’s interpretation of the amount of cost that must be incurred for participating in the competency test training.

Perceived ease of use

Variable this convenience can be called as perceived easy of use (Nysveen et al., 2005). Davis (1989) ease of use perceived ease of use defined as the extent of a person believe that using a technology will be free of effort. Perceived ease of use is the level where someone believes that information technology is easy to understand (Syaniinditha & Setiawan, 2017). This means that perceived ease of use is the belief in ease of use, that is, the degree to which the user believes that the technology/system can be used easily and is free from problems. The perception of ease of use is a belief about the decision-making process (Romadloniyah & Prayitno, 2018). The intensity of use and interaction between the user and the system can also indicate the ease of use. Perception ease of use will reduce effort (both time and effort) someone in studying information technology.

Surifah, et al. (2016), someone who has an intention in an object tends to pay more attention or feel happier to that object. This limitation further clarifies the meaning of intention concerning one’s attention. Attention is the selection of a stimulant from the many stimulants that can override a person’s acceptance mechanism. Humans, certain problems or situations are stimulants that come to a person’s acceptance mechanism, because at any given time only one stimulant can be realized. Of the many stimulants, one must be chosen. This stimulant was chosen because he realized that he had an intention, so he paid attention. The awareness that causes attention to arising is called intention.

Perceived usefulness

Davis (1989) usefulness is the level of one’s trust that the use of a special system would improve job performance. The process of seeking information through a sensing tool is then selected, organized, and interpreted so that the information it receives becomes a meaningful picture, that is perception (Laksana, et al, 2015). Perception of usefulness is a level of one’s belief if the usefulness of a certain system will be able to increase one’s performance (Syaniinditha & Setiawan, 2017). From this definition, it is known that perceived usefulness is a belief about the decision-making process. If a person believes that a system is useful then he will use it. Conversely, if someone believes that the information system is less useful then he will not use it. Individual acting on his perceptions regardless of whether the perception is accurate or inaccurate in describing reality (Langelo, 2013).

Hypothesis

H1: motivation has a direct effect on student intention in participating in the competency test training.

H2: The perception of training cost has a direct effect on student intention in participating in the competency test training.
H3: perceived ease of use has a direct effect on student intention in participating in the competency test training.

H4: perceived usefulness has a direct effect on student intention in participating in the competency test training.

**METHOD**

This type of research is explanatory research, which the cause effect correlation between variables (independent and dependent) through hypothesis test. The research object was students of the Management Study Program, Economics Faculty, Semarang University. Because the population is not known, sampling is done using the following formula:

\[
N = \frac{Z_{a/2}^2 \sigma^2}{e^2}
\]

\[
N = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.25^2}{0.20^2} = 96
\]

Information:

- **n** = Sample Size
- **Za/2** = Standard value lists outside the standard normal how the confidence level is (a) 95%.
- **e** = the level of provision used by suggesting the maximum error magnitude of 20%.

The number of samples was 96 students. The sampling technique in this study was systematic sampling method, with the criteria: students of the Management Study Program who are active in the Economics Faculty and have an intention in participating in the Competency Training.

**Instrument Test**

1. **Validity Test**

   Ghozali (2016) states that the validity test is a measuring tool used to measure whether a questionnaire is valid or not. A questionnaire is said to be valid if the questions on the questionnaire are able to reveal something that can be measured by the questionnaire. If \( r_{\text{count}} > r_{\text{table}} \) and the value is positive then the question or indicator item is valid and if \( r_{\text{count}} < r_{\text{table}} \) then the question or indicator is declared invalid.

2. **Reliability Test**

   Ghozali (2016) states that reliability is actually a tool for measuring a questionnaire which is an indicator of a variable or construct. A questionnaire is said to be reliable or reliable if someone’s answer to a question is consistent or stable over time. The instrument reliability coefficient is intended to see the consistency of the answers to the statement items given by the respondent. Alpha-Cronbach technique will show that an instrument can be said to be reliable or reliable if it has a reliability coefficient or alpha of 0.6 or more.

**t Statistical Test**

Ghozali (2016) revealed that the t statistical test shows how far the influence of one independent variable is on the dependent variable. Testing is done by using 5% acceptance or rejection of the hypothesis with the following criteria:

- a) if the significant value > 0.05 then the hypothesis is rejected (the regression coefficient is not significant). This means that partially the independent variable does not have a significant effect on the dependent variable.
- b) If the significant value < 0.05, the hypothesis is accepted (significant regression coefficient). This means that partially the independent variable has a significant effect on the dependent variable.

**Determination Coefficient Test**

Ghozali (2016) states that the coefficient of determination (R²) essentially measures how far the model’s ability to explain the dependent variable. The value of determination is between zero and one. (R²) which is small means that the ability of the independent variables to explain the variation in the dependent variable is very limited.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Validity and Reliability Tests**

The validity test shows the extent to which measuring instruments are used to measure what is being measured (Ghozali, 2016). Decision making is based on the value of \( r_{\text{count}} > r_{\text{table}} \) (Corrected item-total Correlation) > \( r_{\text{table}} \) of 0.1689, for df = 96-2 = 94 = 0.05, the items/ question is valid and vice versa.

A reliability test is a tool for measuring a questionnaire which is an indicator of a variable or constructs. A questionnaire is said to be reliable or good if someone’s answer to a statement is consistent or stable over time (Ghozali, 2016). The instrument reliability coefficient is intended to see the consistency of the answers to the statement items given by the respondent. Alpha-Cronbach technique will show that an instrument can be said to be reliable or reliable if it has a reliability coefficient or alpha of 0.6 or more (Ghozali, 2016). Based on Table 2, it can be said that all questions are valid and reliable.

**Multiple Linear Regression Analysis**

Based on table 3, the regression equation is as follows:

\[
Y (\text{intention}) = \beta_{1} (\text{Motivation}) + \beta_{2} (\text{Cost Perception}) + \beta_{3} (\text{Perceived Ease of use}) + \beta_{4} (\text{Perceived Usefulness})
\]
Table 2. Validity and Reliability Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale Mean if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Scale Variance if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Corrected Item-Total Correlation</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha if Item Deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x1.1</td>
<td>75,91</td>
<td>52,212</td>
<td>0,401</td>
<td>0,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x1.2</td>
<td>75,76</td>
<td>51,11</td>
<td>0,537</td>
<td>0,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x1.3</td>
<td>75,63</td>
<td>53,1</td>
<td>0,371</td>
<td>0,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x1.4</td>
<td>75,94</td>
<td>48,543</td>
<td>0,631</td>
<td>0,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>75,74</td>
<td>55,9</td>
<td>0,171</td>
<td>0,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>75,72</td>
<td>54,773</td>
<td>0,187</td>
<td>0,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.3</td>
<td>75,76</td>
<td>54,205</td>
<td>0,181</td>
<td>0,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.1</td>
<td>75,39</td>
<td>51,503</td>
<td>0,471</td>
<td>0,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.2</td>
<td>76,2</td>
<td>46,624</td>
<td>0,629</td>
<td>0,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>75,93</td>
<td>50,195</td>
<td>0,467</td>
<td>0,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.4</td>
<td>76,09</td>
<td>48,065</td>
<td>0,559</td>
<td>0,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.1</td>
<td>76,33</td>
<td>49,235</td>
<td>0,444</td>
<td>0,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.2</td>
<td>75,93</td>
<td>52,763</td>
<td>0,278</td>
<td>0,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>50,989</td>
<td>0,468</td>
<td>0,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.4</td>
<td>76,16</td>
<td>48,744</td>
<td>0,651</td>
<td>0,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1</td>
<td>76,29</td>
<td>50,84</td>
<td>0,538</td>
<td>0,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>75,91</td>
<td>52,549</td>
<td>0,355</td>
<td>0,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>76,05</td>
<td>55,039</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td>0,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>76,09</td>
<td>51,054</td>
<td>0,412</td>
<td>0,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>75,6</td>
<td>52,389</td>
<td>0,436</td>
<td>0,827</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2019

Table 3. Multiple Regression Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model B</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig. Tolerance</th>
<th>Collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>8,025</td>
<td>1,499</td>
<td>5,353</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>,396</td>
<td>,081</td>
<td>,417</td>
<td>4,908</td>
<td>,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Perception</td>
<td>-,041</td>
<td>,073</td>
<td>,041</td>
<td>-,568</td>
<td>,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of use</td>
<td>,153</td>
<td>,066</td>
<td>,216</td>
<td>2,322</td>
<td>,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Usefulness</td>
<td>,207</td>
<td>,071</td>
<td>,259</td>
<td>2,898</td>
<td>,005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y = 0.417 X1 - 0.041 X2 + 0.216 X3 + 0, 259 X4

This is a deterministic model where the dependent variable is derived from combination of the assumed independent variables encouraging change. Based on the results of the regression equation, it can be concluded that:

1. The regression coefficient for the variable of motivation to attend training has a positive value (0.417) meaning that the higher the motivation to participate in the training, the more intention in participating in the training.
2. The regression coefficient for the perceived cost of participating in the training is negative value (-0.041) meaning that the more expensive the perceived cost of participating in training can reduce intention in participating in the training.
3. The regression coefficient for the perceived ease of participating in the training has a positive value (0,216), meaning that the higher the perceived ease of participating in the training, the more intention in participating in the training.
4. The regression coefficient for the perceived usefulness has a positive value (0,259) meaning...
that the better the perceived usefulness gave to attend the training, the more intention it will be in participating in the training.

**Hypothesis Testing**
This test is carried out using a significant level of 0.05. In this study it is considered not to have high accuracy then using 0.05 and not 0.01. A significance level of 5% or 0.05 means that we take the risk of making a wrong decision to reject the correct hypothesis at most 5% and correct in making decisions at least 95% (confidence level). In this study, the t table value is \((n - k)\) where \(n = 96\) and \(k = 5\) then \((n - k = 91)\), so the t table is 1.662. The t value and t-test results are presented in the table, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d.f</th>
<th>(t_{0.0})</th>
<th>(t_{0.025})</th>
<th>(t_{0.05})</th>
<th>(t_{0.005})</th>
<th>d.f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,078</td>
<td>6,314</td>
<td>12,706</td>
<td>31,821</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,886</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td>4,303</td>
<td>6,965</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf.</td>
<td>1,290</td>
<td>1,660</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>2,364</td>
<td>Inf.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program SPSS (Ghozali)

From 5 the table above it can be seen:

a. The statistical t-test on motivation to participate in training \((X1)\) has a positive and significant effect on the intention in participating the competency training \((Y)\) with a significance level of 0.000 < 0.05 while \(t\) count 4.908 > \(t\) table 1.6618, so it can be concluded that H1 is accepted.

b. The statistical t-test on perceived cost \((X2)\) has a negative and insignificant effect on the intention in participating the competency training \((Y)\) with a significance level of 0.572 > 0.05, while \(t\) count -0.568 > \(t\) table 1, 6618, so it can be concluded that H2 is rejected.

c. The statistical t-test on perceived ease of use \((X3)\) has a positive and significant effect on the intention in participating in the competency training \((Y)\) with a significance level of 0.022 < 0.05, while \(t\) count 2.322 > \(t\) table 1.6618, so it can be concluded that H3 is accepted.

d. The statistical t-test on perceived usefulness \((X4)\) has a positive and significant effect on the intention in participating in the competency training \((Y)\) with a significance level of 0.005 < 0.05, while \(t\) count 2.898 > \(t\) table 1.6618, so it can be concluded that H4 is accepted.

**Coefficient of Determination (R²)**

Table 6 shows the R2 value of 0.535, meaning that 53.5% of the variation of the intention in participating in the competency training can be explained by the independent variables of motivation, perceived cost, perceived ease of use, and perceived usefulness.

**The Effect of Motivation on Intention**
Motivation is the factors that exist within a person who can give his behavior to fulfill certain goals. The results of testing the H1 hypothesis indicate that motivation has a positive and significant effect on intention in participating in competency training. This is proven by the significance level of 0.000 < 0.05, while \(t\) count 4.908 > \(t\) table 1.668, so it can be concluded that H1 is accepted. From the results of the regression coefficient analysis, the motivation...
variable is positive, which means that if motivation increases, the desire to participate in training also increases. The acceptance of this hypothesis is reinforced by the finding that the average score of student intention in participating in the competency training is 332 and is in the high category. This reveals that students have a high intention in participating the competency training because competency training is considered to be able to help the development of the manager’s profession, can improve the quality of prospective managers, can help career success in the management profession, and a means of getting a job that provides large financial payments. The high intention in competency training encourages students to take competency training before completing their studies.

The results of this study support the opinion of Robbins (2008) which states that motivation is the willingness to spend a high level of effort towards organizational goals, which is conditioned by the ability of that effort to meet an individual need. A person’s motivation starts with a need, desire, and urge to act to achieve a need or goal. This indicates how strong the drive, effort, intensity, and willingness to sacrifice for the achievement of goals. In this case, the stronger the motivation or motivation and enthusiasm, the higher the performance. The results of this study are also following the motivation theory of Hasibuan (2016) which states that motivation is the provision of the driving force that creates a person’s enthusiasm for work so that they are willing to work together, work effectively and with integrity with all efforts to achieve satisfaction. Desire can be maximally achieved if motivation goes through a gradual cycle (Surifah, et al, 2016). This research supports Baloglu & Mc Cleary (1999); Standage, et. al, (2003); Huang & Hsu (2009); Indriyani (2018); Setyawan & Iswanaji (2019) studies which stated that motivation affects student intention. Motivation is a basic psychological process (Taghipour & Dejban, 2013), which activates, guides, and maintains behaviour (Palmer, 2005), as a driving force for the professional growth of personality (Sidorovaa, 2015), an impetus for taking action based on certain needs and desires (Ganesan & Gaurib, 2012) as well as an important incentive to improve performance (Santisi, et. al, 2014). Different results have been studied by Widyanto & Fitriana (2016) it states that motivation does not affect intention.

Perception of Cost on Intention

From the results of the regression coefficient analysis of the training cost variable, negative results were obtained so that in this case it can be said that the higher the cost of training to attend competency training, the more intention in participating in the competency training will decrease. This coefficient indicates the negative and inelastic relationship between the average increase in the total cost of education and the average decrease in the number of students intentioned in participating in the competency training. This negative relationship is following demand theory. The results of this study are following the theory of the perception of training cost from Berlinasari & Erawati (2017) which states that the cost of education is the total financial sacrifice that students pay for the benefit of studying from the beginning to the end of the course. This is in line with Gul, et. al. (1989); Eline (2009); Sapitri & Yaya (2015); Berlinasari & Erawati (2017) which shows that costs affect intention. On the other hand, it is different from Zyl (2011); Katti & Mutmainah (2014) research, namely that the cost of PPAk education does not significantly affect the intention of students in attending PPAk education. The results are different from Denziana & Febriani (2017) research which stated that the cost of PPAk education affects significantly the intention of students in attending PPAk education.

Perceived Ease of Use on Intention

From the analysis of the regression coefficient of the perception of ease of use variables, positive results were obtained, which means that if students see the ease of competency training, the intention in participating in the competency training will also be greater. The acceptance of this hypothesis is reinforced by the finding that the average score of student intention in participating in the competency training is 346 and is in the high category. This reveals that students have a high intention in participating in competency training because competency training is considered easy and can improve student quality. The high intention in competency training makes it easy for students to take competency training before completing their studies. This research is following the theory of perceived ease of use from Romadloniyah & Prayitno (2018), which states that use is a belief about the decision-making process. This research is in line with Davis (1989); Nysseen et al. (2005); Langelo (2013); Alharbi & Drew (2014); Prathama & Sahetapy, 2019; Witami & Suartana (2019); which shows that perceived ease has a positive effect on intention.

Perceived Usefulness on Intention

From the results of the regression coefficient analysis of the user variable, positive results were
obtained so that in this case it can be said that the higher the usefulness of participating in the competency training, the intention in participating in the competency training will also increase. The acceptance of this hypothesis is reinforced by the finding that the average score of student intention in participating in the competency training is 339 and is in the high category. This reveals that students have a high intention in participating in competency training because competency training is considered useful and can help career success and a means of getting a prestigious job. The high intention in competency training encourages students to take competency training before completing their studies. This research is following the theory of perceived usefulness from Syaninditha & Setiawan (2017) which states that perceived usefulness is a level of one’s belief if the use of a certain system will be able to increase one’s performance. This is in line with Davis (1989); Nysveen et al. (2005). Langelo (2013); Lu, et. al. (2009); Lou, et. al (2005); Alharbi & Drew (2014); Witami & Suartana (2019) researches which showed that perceived usefulness affects intention.

CONCLUSION

Motivation has a positive and significant effect on intention in participating in competency training, which means that if motivation increases, the desire to take part in training also increases. This is reinforced by the finding that the average score of student intention in participating in competency training falls into the high category. The training costs obtained negative results so that in this case it can be said that the higher or higher the cost of training to attend competency training, the intention in participating in competency training actually decreases. This negative relationship is in accordance with demand theory. The perception of ease of obtaining positive results means that if students see the ease of competency training, the intention in participating in competency training will also be greater. The average score of student intention in participating in competency training is in the high category. The benefits are obtained positive results so that in this case it can be said that the higher or higher the benefits of participating in competency training, the intention in participating in competency training will also increase.

The implication: (1) The quality of the training needs to be improved, namely by using a lot of case study questions and practice questions. (2) The material is kept as simple as possible so that it is easily understood by the trainees. (3) Cooperating with institutions that issue competency training tests.

For further researchers it is hoped that it can expand the scope of the respondent, it is better if you add other variables that can influence student interest, such as: variable income of parents, subjective norms.
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